

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(m)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/039,760	01/03/2002	Brett Finlay	9003-0001	9198
	7590 03/05/2004		EXAM	INER
COOLEY GODWARD, LLP 3000 EL CAMINO REAL 5 PALO ALTO SQUARE PALO ALTO, CA 94306		·	SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
	•		DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	Į

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/039,760	FINLAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khatol S Shahnan-Shah	1645	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commodified above is less than thirty (3). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication. o) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (attactory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTY will by statute cause the application to the control of the	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 08 December 2002		
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition f	for allowance except for formal matter	s prosperition on to the marks in	
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	s, prosecution as to the ments is	
Disposition of Claims		. 1, 100 0.0. 210.	
4) Claim(s) 33-62 is/are pending in the			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ard 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e withdrawn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) 33-62 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
	a) accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abevance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	he correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to See 37 CER 1 121(4)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority, and a S. U.S. O. O. A.		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in loreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority do	noumanta hava hasa		
3 Copies of the partition series of	ocuments have been received in Appl	ication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	trie priority documents have been red	eived in this National Stage	
application from the Internationa	ai Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
achment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nany (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948) Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/2002.	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/039,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' amendment, received December 08, 2003 is acknowledged. Claims 1-32 have been canceled. New claims 35-62 have been added.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicants' election without traverse of December 08, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicants elected group III claims 33-34 which are drawn to a method for reducing colonization of enterohemorragic E.coli in a mammal. Newly added claims 35-62 will be examined under elected group III.
- 3. Currently claims 33-62 are pending and under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. Applicants' Information disclosure statement, received 9/20/2002 is acknowledged. The references have been considered by the Examiner (see attached PTO form 1449).

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The use of the trademark VSA3 has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1645

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 33-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of reducing colonization or shedding of EHEC in bovine and ovis species, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of reducing colonization or shedding of EHEC in all mammals including humans. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/and or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Enablement requires that the specification teach those in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the nature of the invention, (2) the state of the prior art, (3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, (4) the amount of direction or guidance present, (5) the presence or absence of working examples, (6) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (7) the relative skill of those in the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

In the instant case claims are broadly drawn to a method of reducing colonization of EHEC in all mammals including humans. The specification is only enabled for a method reducing colonization of EHEC in certain ruminants such a cows and sheep. The given examples in the specification, examples 4 and 5 are directed to monitoring shedding and colonization of EHEC in cattle. It is well known in the art that the cattle are an important reservoir and are carriers of EHEC while in humans EHEC is an important pathogen causing diarrhea with life threatening complications.

Art Unit: 1645

Applying the above test to the facts of record, it is determined that 1) insufficient direction or guidance is presented in the specification with respect to a method having claimed functional feature of capability of reducing colonization or generating protective responses in all mammals including humans, 2) there are no working examples which suggest the desired results of protecting against EHEC, 3) the nature of the invention involved the complex and incompletely understood area of protective immune responses against EHEC, 4) the state of the prior art shows the lack of correlates to immunity with EHEC specially in humans, 5) the relative skill of those in the art is commonly recognized as quite high (post – doctoral level).

In view of all of the above, in view of the lack of predictability in the art, and lack of guidance on how to obtain the desired effect using the claimed vaccine complex it is determined that it would require undue experimentation to make and/or use the claimed invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 33-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 recites the limitation "said ruminant" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 34 recites the limitation "said ruminant" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1645

The term "about" in claims 43, 44, 57 and 58 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

It is not clear what constitute the metes and bounds of the term "at least" in claims 46, 48, 60 and 62.

Claims 45-48 and 59-62 are indefinite because they contain the abbreviations Tir, EspA, EspB and EspD. Full terminology should be in each instance in the claims without the additional use of redundant abbreviations in parentheses or otherwise. Correction is required.

The use of the trademark VSA3 has been noted in claims 42-44 and 56-58. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

11. Claims 33- 36, 38, 45, 49, 50 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Li et al. (Infection and Immunity Vol. 68, No.9, pp. 5090-5095, September 2000).

The claims are drawn to a method of reducing colonization of enterohemorragic E. coli (EHEC) in a mammal comprising administering a composition comprising an EHEC cell culture supernatant.

Art Unit: 1645

Li et al. teach a method of reducing colonization of enterohemorragic E. coli (EHEC) in a mammal comprising administering a composition comprising an EHEC cell culture supernatant (see abstract, pages 5090-5091). Li et al. teach reducing colonization in cattle (page 5090), EHEC 0157:H7, Tir, intimin, EsPA, EspB (see pages 5090-5091). The prior art teach the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 33-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (Infection and Immunity Vol. 68, No.9, pp. 5090-5095, September 2000) as applied to claims 33-36, 38, 45, 49, 50 and 59 above, and further in view of Little-van den Hurk et al. (US 5,951,988). Prior art of the record.

The claims are drawn to a method of reducing colonization of enterohemorragic E. coli (EHEC) in a mammal comprising administering a composition comprising an EHEC cell culture supernatant.

Li et al. teach a method of reducing colonization of enterohemorragic E. coli (EHEC) in a mammal comprising administering a composition comprising an EHEC cell culture supernatant (see abstract, pages 5090-5091). Li et al. teach reducing colonization in cattle (page 5090), EHEC 0157:H7, Tir, intimin, EsPA, EspB (see pages 5090-5091). Li et al. do not teach

Art Unit: 1645

adjuvants such as VSA3. However, Little-van den Hurk et al. teach adjuvant formulations such as an oil-in-water emulsion (see abstract), DDA (see column 6) and VSA3 (see example 7).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the methods of Little-van den Hurk et al. and Li et al. to obtain the claimed invention. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the adjuvants of Little-van den Hurk et al, with the expectation of obtaining a composition with enhanced immunogenic activity. The criticality of the recited amounts of the components of the composition has not been established and would be a matter of design choice. Limitations such as different concentrations are being viewed as limitations of optimizing experimental parameters.

Conclusion

14. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol S Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (571)-272-0863. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith can be reached on (571)-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1645

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

March 2, 2004

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER